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ABSTRACT

Various applications of biopolymer-based soil treatment (BPST) in geotechnical engineering have been implemented in recent years, including dust control, soil strengthening and erosion control. Despite BPST methods can ensure the effectiveness of engineering while meeting environmental protection requirements, BPST technology requires further validation in terms of site applicability, durability, and economic feasibility. This study aims to provide a state-of-the-art review and future prospective of BPST. Current biopolymer types, engineered and assessed in laboratory scales, are described along with site implementation attempts. The effect of biopolymers on soil behavior is reviewed with regard to geotechnical engineering application and practice, including soil consistency limits, strength parameters, hydraulic conductivity, soil-water characteristics, and erosion control. The economic feasibility and sustainability of BPST application in ground improvement and earth stabilization practices is discussed. This review postulates biopolymers to be a promising new, environmentally friendly ground improvement material for geotechnical and construction engineering practice.

Introduction

Rapid global population growth has increased socioeconomic demand for the development and expansion of civil infrastructure at an unprecedented rate. The construction of civil infrastructure requires corresponding ground improvement practices; more than 40,000 soil improvement projects are implemented at a total cost exceeding 6 billion USD per year worldwide [1]. The main purpose of ground improvement is to increase the strength (bearing capacity and shear strength) and stiffness of soil, improve surface erosion resistance, and control hydraulic conductivity and seepage [2]. Generally, soil improvement techniques can be classified into two main categories: mechanical stabilization (e.g., compaction, vibration, anchors, geosynthetics) and chemical stabilization (e.g., mixing or injection of cementitious binders) [3].

Ground improvement using chemical stabilization is based on inducing chemical reactions of cementitious binders in the pore space of soil or with soil minerals to achieve a desired strengthening effect by improving interparticle bonds and clogging pores [2,3]. Cement, lime, fly ash, and hydrophilic gels are all commonly used for this purpose. However, there are serious concerns about the chemical impact (e.g., toxicity and leaching) that these conventional soil binders have on the natural environment as well as their effects on the health and safety of humans [4]. Cement has been the most common material used for ground improvement since the 1960s [5,6]. However, its use in saturated ground could increase the pH of the soil and neighboring groundwater [7]. Moreover, it contributes to global climate change, as 0.2% of global CO2 emissions is linked to cement usage in geotechnical engineering practices [7]. The cement industry accounts for 5–8% of global CO2 emissions [7–9], as producing a single ton of cement emits approximately 1 ton of CO2 [10]. The production of cement is expected to grow from 2.5 billion tons in 2016 to 4.4 billion tons by 2050 [11]. For these reasons, significant research has been undertaken to develop new types of binders in an effort to reduce the use of cement in ground improvement practices.

Polyacrylamide (PAM) has been introduced as a soil conditioner to increase water retention in soil under drought conditions, to improve soil erosion resistance during irrigation [12,13], and to reduce wind erosion and dust at construction sites [14] and temporarily unpaved field facilities [15]. However, previous studies have shown that the...
residual monomers of PAM generate toxicities [16] that can impact the nervous system [17,18] and brain creatine kinase [19], damage DNA [20], and increase cancer risks [16,21–23].

To overcome the concerns and drawbacks of common soil chemical stabilization practices, bio-mediated and bio-inspired approaches have been actively studied in geotechnical engineering research. Microbial-induced calcite precipitation (MICP) is a technique that utilizes the metabolic pathways of bacteria such as *Sporosarcina pasteurii* (i.e., *Bacillus pasteurii*) [24–30] and *Pseudomonas denitrificans* [31] to form calcite precipitations throughout the soil matrix. Precipitated calcium carbonate (CaCO$_3$) binds soil particles together, thereby increasing the strength and stiffness of the soil and reducing its hydraulic conductivity [32–34]. However, despite numerous studies, the MICP method still has several limitations: (1) it mostly has insufficient performance in fine soils with small pores, especially stiff clays [35]; (2) the transport, cultivation, and fixation performance of bacteria is not consistent [36]; (3) the ammonium chloride byproduct dissolves soil minerals [37]; and (4) difficulties with field performance prediction and ensuring appropriate design where CaCO$_3$ precipitation behavior differs from *in situ* chemical conditions and the presence of natural bacteria and organic substances [38].

Recently, various avenues have been pursued to use biopolymers in geotechnical engineering [7,39–42]. Biopolymers are polymers produced from natural resources, including polysaccharides such as cellulose, proteins such as gelatin, casein, and silk, and marine prokar-yotes; chemical synthesis of bio-derived monomers (e.g., polyactic acid) or microbial activities (e.g., xanthan gum, gellan gum) can also produce biopolymers [7,43]. Biopolymers are environmentally friendly and have been widely used in food and medical applications [44,45]. Recent studies have shown how biopolymers can be used for soil strengthening [46–51], soil permeability control [52–54], erosion reduction [55–60], dust control [61–64], and even water treatment [65–68].

Biopolymer-based soil treatment (BPST) has advantages in terms of rapidity and quantity/quality control over other biological soil treatment methods. In particular, endo-cultivating MICP requires abundant time and resources (e.g., nutrients, aeration, cultivation environment control) to ensure sufficient CaCO$_3$ precipitation for soil strengthening, with the exact quantity of CaCO$_3$ being largely unpredictable and case-dependent [1,7,38,69]. However, the basic concept of BPST involves using biopolymers produced from an exo-cultivation facility, where both quantity and quality control are available. Moreover, direct biopolymer mixing with soil forms uniform biopolymer-treated soil (BPTS) mixtures that show instant strengthening due to the electro-static biopolymer-soil matrix formation [7,46,50,70] (Fig. 1).

This study aims to provide a state-of-the-art overview and future direction of BPST. Current biopolymer types, engineered and assessed in laboratory-scale studies, are described along with site application attempts. The effect of biopolymers on soil behavior is reviewed with regard to bio-geotechnical engineering application and practice. Furthermore, the application of biopolymers in slope erosion control is thoroughly examined. Finally, the environmental, social, and economic aspects of biopolymer use are discussed.

**Common biopolymers used in geotechnical engineering**

Common biopolymers used in geotechnical engineering research and practices are summarized in Table 1. Their detailed chemical characteristics and application forms are described in the following.

### Agar gum

Agar gum is a polysaccharide biopolymer composed of linearly linked galactose molecules based on a disaccharide repeat structure of 3-linked β-D-galactopyranosyl and 4-linked 3,6-anhydro-α-L-galactopyranosyl units [71]. Generally, agar gum is extracted from several species of *Rhodophyta* (red algae), including *Gelidium*, *Gracilaria*, and *Pterocladia* [72]. The most important property of agar is its ability to form reversible gels through cooling heated aqueous solutions without additional chemical treatment [72]. Agar molecules form double helices with a threefold screw axis, where agar gelation is followed by the settling of water molecules into the cavities between the double helices of agar, contributing to the stability of the double helix [73].

Agar gum is commonly used as a gel thickening agent and food stabilizer [72]. In addition, agar gum has various medical purposes, such as use in medications [74,75] and in culture media for microbial and genetic research [76,77].

As agar gum has rheological properties, it has recently been used to improve the strength of soil without environmental concerns [48,78]. The results from previous studies show that an agar gum treatment of 3% (of the dry mass of the soil) increases the unconfined compressive strength (UCS) of the soil by up to 10 MPa in dry conditions [48]. The effectiveness of agar gum in strengthening soil is likely related to the dehydration of agar gum gels [48,78]. Moreover, adding starch to agar gum results in a significant increase in the inter-particle cohesion and stiffness of soils [79]. However, the addition of sodium alginate to agar gum seems to decrease the strength of agar gum-treated soil [80].

### Guar gum

Guar gum is a neutrally charged polysaccharide extracted from the seeds of the leguminous shrub *Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*. Guar gum belongs to the galactomannan family. Its structure consists of a 1,4-linked β-D-mannopyranose backbone with random branch points of α-D-galactose units [81]. The most significant characteristic of guar gum is its ability to hydrate rapidly in cold water systems, yielding highly viscous solutions even at low concentrations [44]. At the same biopolymer-to-water ratio, guar gum solution shows higher viscosity than xanthan gum solution [82]. Concentration, dispersion, temperature, pH, and presence of additional substances are the main factors affecting the rheology of guar gum solutions [83]. An uncontrolled rate of hydration can lead to a decrease in viscosity, which can limit the applications of guar gum. Thus, the hydration process of guar gum must be controlled for at least 2 h in practical applications in order to reach maximum viscosity [44].

Since its introduction as a substitute for locust bean gum in 1942 [83], guar gum has been widely used in food products as a stabilizer, emulsifier, or thickener. As a food additive, it is used in an amount smaller than 1% of the food weight [44,84]. In industrial applications, guar gum is used as a flocculant, foam stabilizer, filtration aid, water treatment agent, and additive for pharmaceutical drugs [85–88].

In civil and geotechnical engineering practices, guar gum has been tried to stabilize mine tailings by improving their undrained shear strength by about 11 times (2 kPa to 22 kPa at 30% water content) [82]. Attempts have been made to use viscosity-controlled guar gum (with additives such as acrylamide, ammonium persulfate ((NH$_4$)$_2$S$_2$O$_8$), and formaldehyde (CH$_2$O)) as injected grout for sand stabilization [82]. The hydrated guar gum is added to sand at a water ratio, guar gum solution shows higher viscosity than xanthan gum solution [82]. Concentration, dispersion, temperature, pH, and presence of additional substances are the main factors affecting the rheology of guar gum solutions [83]. An uncontrolled rate of hydration can lead to a decrease in viscosity, which can limit the applications of guar gum. Thus, the hydration process of guar gum must be controlled for at least 2 h in practical applications in order to reach maximum viscosity [44].

### Gellan gum

Gellan gum, manufactured by microbial fermentation of *Sphingomonas elodea*, is a linear anionic polysaccharide composed of a...
repeating chain of two D-glucose residues, one D-glucuronic acid, and one L-rhamnose residue, with a multi-stranded chain structure [94,95].

Gellan gum forms a viscoelastic aqueous solution with cation-dependent gelation behavior [96]. It features versatile conformation according to polymer concentration, temperature, aqueous environment, and the presence of monovalent or divalent cations in the solution [97]. At low temperatures, gellan gum mostly exists in the form of double helical strands, while it shows single helix strands at high temperatures [94].

The temperature-dependent structure and viscosity transforming characteristics (i.e., thermo-gelation) of gellan gum have advantages in geotechnical engineering [48]. Once gellan gum hydrogels are formed via thermo-gelation between soil particles, the firm hydrogels provide significant strengthening (e.g., about 400 kPa with SP sand and 12 MPa with ML soil in dry conditions) and pore-clogging effects to cohesionless soils [48]. Moreover, the strengthening effect of gellan gum treatment excels in the presence of clays due to the direct formation of a biopolymer-clay matrix [46]. Compared to other polysaccharide-type biopolymers, gellan gum shows higher durability against severe wetting-and-drying cycles, which is a notable benefit in practice [98]. However, the economic feasibility of gellan gum itself and the heat treatment required to induce the thermo-gelation process are limitations to its adoption in real geotechnical engineering practice.
Dextran is a homopolysaccharide composed of glucose linked to a linear chain via α-1,6-linkages, synthesized from sucrose by lactic acid bacteria such as *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* and *Streptococcus mutans* [99]. It is a flexible biopolymer that can form coils with high density and a low level of permeability in an aqueous medium [100, 101].

Dextran is one of the first industrially utilized extracellular microbial polymers, commonly used as a blood plasma extender [102]. Moreover, dextran is implemented in tissue engineering [103–105]. Another important application is the industrial separation of plasma protein, in particular albumin, immunoglobulins, pro-insulin, and other blood factors [106–108]. Dextran is also used in the food industry as an emulsifier [109].

In civil and construction engineering aspects, attempts have been made to use dextran as an oil drilling mud additive [110, 111] and soil stabilizer [112]. Dextran is reported to modify the microaggregate size distribution, increasing the proportion of aggregate (> 75 μm) [112]. Dextran renders effective aggregation of soil particles [113, 114]. A study on the effect of dextran on the desiccation and rehydration of sand and clays showed that dextran has no effect on water retention in soils [115]. Recently, experimental studies have shown that dextran enhances the surface erosion and scouring resistance of saturated silty sands. Fine silica sand containing dextran produced by 300 g/L of sucrose concentration showed 20 times increased critical shear stress ($\tau_c$) and a 1/9 reduction in the erodibility coefficient ($k$) compared to the

### Table 1
Common biopolymers used in geotechnical engineering.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biopolymer</th>
<th>Chemical characteristics</th>
<th>Cost $/kg$</th>
<th>Behavior with Soils</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Agar Gum</strong></td>
<td>$C_{4\text{H}_{2\text{O}}}$</td>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>Strengthening</td>
<td>[48, 72, 78, 232]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(~250)</td>
<td>Pore clogging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(~160)</td>
<td>Erosion reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Guar Gum</strong></td>
<td>$C_{18\text{H}_{32}}$</td>
<td>1–30</td>
<td>Dust control</td>
<td>[44, 82, 84, 89, 90, 232]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_{12}\text{O}_{12}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydration in cold water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$P_{12}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Stabilizer/thickener</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gellan Gum</strong></td>
<td>$C_{26\text{H}_{30}}$</td>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>Strengthening</td>
<td>[46, 48, 96–98, 232]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$O_{20}$</td>
<td>(~460)</td>
<td>Pore clogging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(~600)</td>
<td>Erosion reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dextran</strong></td>
<td>$C_{18\text{H}_{32}}$</td>
<td>15–60</td>
<td>Drilling muds</td>
<td>[56, 100, 101, 109–112, 116, 232]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$O_{16}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Conditioners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Erosion reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Beta(1–3)-</strong></td>
<td>$C_{18\text{H}_{32}}$</td>
<td>20–90</td>
<td>Grouting</td>
<td>[101, 102, 109, 110, 128, 232, 233]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>glucan</strong></td>
<td>$O_{16}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strengthening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Superplasticizer in concrete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Xanthan Gum</strong></td>
<td>$C_{26\text{H}_{30}}$</td>
<td>2–5 (~500)</td>
<td>Drilling mud thickener</td>
<td>[49, 137, 138, 232]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$O_{20}P_{2}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strengthening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chitosan</strong></td>
<td>$C_{18\text{H}_{32}}$</td>
<td>10–100</td>
<td>Coagulant effects</td>
<td>[65, 148–151, 232]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_{12}O_{12}$</td>
<td>(~900)</td>
<td>Removal of heavy metals in water</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Starch</strong></td>
<td>$C_{42}\text{H}_{60}$</td>
<td>1–5 (~20)</td>
<td>Adhesives for drilling fluids</td>
<td>[79, 158–162, 232]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$O_{30}$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Strengthening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Erosion reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Casein</strong></td>
<td>$C_{81}\text{H}_{125}$</td>
<td>5–50 (~80)</td>
<td>Strengthening</td>
<td>[166–170, 234]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$N_{22}O_{39}P$</td>
<td></td>
<td>Water resistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hydraulic conductivity reduction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Market price (as in 2018) based on bulk units (www.alibaba.com), where prices in brackets present the price of (purified) research grade products according to www.sigmaaldrich.com.
untreated condition [56,116].

**Beta-glucan**

Beta-glucan (β-glucan) comprises a biopolymer group of D-glucose monomers that typically form a linear structure via 1,3- or 1,6-glycosidic bonds [50,117]. β-glucan is naturally found in various formations, such as in cellulose, bran, and the cell walls of yeasts, fungi, and bacteria [118–120]. In construction and geotechnical engineering practices, attempts have been made to use various β-glucan types, including scleroglucan, curdlan, and Polycan, to improve geotechnical engineering properties of soils.

**Scleroglucan**

Scleroglucan is a natural polysaccharide produced by an aerobic submerged culture of a selected strain of the fungus *Sclerotium rolfsii*, which has a three-dimensional, cross-linked, triple-helix structure [121]. Scleroglucan has high stability with temperature variation; however, when heated above 140 °C, its viscosity abruptly reduces down due to unrecoverable disturbance of its helical structure [122]. Scleroglucan is a multipurpose compound with applications in many industrial fields, including oil, food, and pharmaceutics. Purified scleroglucan, from which the mycelium has been removed by filtering the dilute broth, is used as a thickener in cosmetic applications [121,122].

Scleroglucan-based drilling fluids have found significant use in horizontal well drilling, as they allow the extraction of more oil from a petroleum reservoir [123,124]. Scleroglucan is not as economical as xanthan, but crude scleroglucan is potentially economical for use in oil recovery and drilling [125]. In conventional building products, scleroglucan shows adequate applicability as a thickener for asphalt emulsions [122,126]. Another application of scleroglucan is in improving the water retention of soils, where the water-holding capacity of scleroglucan is weaker than that of xanthan gum but higher than dextran [115,127].

**Curdlan**

Curdlan is a linear β-1,3-glucan biopolymer with a high molecular weight that is produced by pathogenic bacteria (e.g., *Agrobacterium* biovars and *Alcaligenes faecalis*) [99]. Curdlan forms irreversible elastic gels upon heating in an aqueous phase; thermally induced curdlan gels do not dissolve back to aqueous suspensions even when reheated [128]. Curdlan is a commonly used gelling agent in food industry [101,102], biomedical and pharmaceutical industries [117,129], and cosmetics industry [130,131].

In civil and geotechnical engineering applications, curdlan has been investigated to be used as a pore-clogging (grouting) agent for soil hydraulic conductivity reduction [132] and adsorbent for contaminated ground treatment [133]. Furthermore, curdlan has been used as a superplasticizer in concrete mixtures to prevent cement-aggregate separation [134].

**Polycan**

Polycan™ predominantly consists β-1,3/1,6-glucan produced by *Aureobasidium pullulans* [50,135]. Attempts have recently been made to use Polycan as a new, environmentally friendly soil binder in geotechnical engineering. Polycan has a soil strengthening effect, as even a small amount (0.25% of the soil mass) enhances the UCS of soil by up to 2.7 MPa which is competitive to the improvement of 10% cement-treated soil (2.2 MPa), while 0.5% β-1,3/1,6-glucan content yields a UCS of up to 4.3 MPa [50]. In addition, the presence of Polycan in soil enhances the liquid limit (LL), plasticity index (PI), and shear stiffness (G), while having a minor effect on soil’s constraint modulus (M) [136]. Moreover, β-1,3/1,6-glucan soil treatment improves the surface erosion resistance and vegetation growth of arid soils, postulating the optimistic potential of BPST application to combat desertification [57].

**Xanthan gum**

Xanthan gum is a polysaccharide biopolymer produced by *Xanthomonas campestris* [137], comprising two glucoses, two mannoses, and one glucuronic acid unit that mostly forms helical structures [138,139]. Xanthan gum solution conformation is either a helix or random coil shapes, depending on dissolution temperature and salt level [140]. The viscosity of xanthan gum solutions increases linearly with xanthan gum content, showing high stability in broad range of temperatures, pH, and electrolyte concentrations [49].

Xanthan gum has been commonly used in food industry due to its temperature stability, compatibility with food ingredients, and pseudoplastic rheological characteristics [138]. Moreover, xanthan gum is also applied as a gelling and suspending agent (floculant) for viscosity control in the oil industry as a drilling mud thickener [137]. Recently,
many research are implementing xanthan gum in geotechnical engineering practices due to its high soil strengthening efficiency (e.g., 4.9 MPa of UCS with 1% treatment on CL soil) and adequate economic feasibility [47,49,52,55,70,141–147].

Chitosan

Chitin is a linear polysaccharide formed by the deacetylation of chitin contained in insects, squid bones, and crustacean shells. The main components of chitosan are β-1,4-D-glucosamine (C₆H₁₃NO₅) and N-acetylglucosamine (C₈H₁₅NO₆).

As chitosan has a similar molecular structure to human tissue, chitosan is compatible with the human cells and does not raise concerns of immune reactions. Thus, chitosan has become a common thickener, stabilizer, and manufacturing agent for food products and biomaterials. Due to its biodegradable properties, chitosan is widely used in agriculture in environmentally friendly biopesticides and fertilizers [148,149]. In addition, chitosan has been introduced as a feasible sustainable additive in earthen construction [68].

In terms of civil and environmental engineering, chitosan has been used as a coagulant to remove contaminants such as Cu²⁺, Pb²⁺, Cd²⁺, Zn²⁺, and Pb²⁺ from waste water and groundwater [65,150,151]. Moreover, the injection of chitosan into organic waste suspensions promotes coagulation, accelerating separation (settlement) of organic matter [66,152,153]. The cationic charges of chitosan show electrostatic interaction with the negative charges of clay particles, forming coagulates in clay suspensions [41,154,155] and rendering face-to-face packing clay sediments [156]. For soil remediation, chitosan coats the surface of sand particles and enhances the filtration of waste removal via pore clogging, which significantly reduces the hydraulic conductivity of soils [52,157].

Fig. 3. Unconfined compressive strength of biopolymer-treated soils at a dry condition. (a) Coarse soil [48,79,169,175]. (b) Fine soil [50,146,176,177]. Data for cement-treated soils are included for comparison.
Starch

Starch is one of the most common natural biopolymers found in seeds, grains, and roots of plants, including maize, rice, wheat, corn, potatoes, and cassava. The appearance and properties of this natural biopolymer vary depending on the source [158]. Starches are mainly composed of monosaccharides or sugar molecules with $\alpha$-D-1,4 and/or $\alpha$-D-1,6 linkages.

Starches are widely used in various fields including food, textile, cosmetic, plastic, paper, and pharmaceutical industries, as thickeners and stabilizers [159], disintegrants and diluents [160], strengtheners [161], and adhesives [162].

In construction and geotechnical engineering, starches have been applied as adhesives for drilling fluids [123,124,163]. Additionally, starch can improve the mechanical properties of soil, including UCS, shear strength, elastic modulus, and permeability function, as a pregelatinized powder [79]. It can also be cross-linked to enhance resistance to shear stress [164]. Moreover, starch shows remarkable soil erosion control by aggregating soil particles [41,165].

Casein

Casein is a phosphorus protein biopolymer that makes up 80% of the proteins in bovine milk and has an average molecular mass of 20–25 kDa. Casein tends to coagulate and form colloidal micelles in a suspension phase [166]. Due to its hydrophobicity, casein biopolymer has been widely applied in various fields, including food, industrial paints, adhesives, plastics, and medical practices [167,168]. Moreover, its application in geotechnical and construction engineering practices is expected to contribute to global dairy and milk waste reduction, as the reported total global amount (135.8 million tons per annum) is estimated to produce 3.3 million tons of casein, which can treat approximately $127.4 \times 10^6$ m$^3$ of soil with 2% casein in the soil mass [166].

The hydrophobicity of casein has motivated research on soil treatment using casein to enhance the wet strength [166], shear strength [169], and hydraulic conductivity [170] of soils. In particular, casein has shown higher wet strength (i.e., 650 kPa of UCS with a 5% mixing ratio on a sand and clay mixture) than other BPST conditions [166].

Geotechnical engineering properties and behaviors induced by biopolymer-based soil treatment (BPST)

Soil consistency

Generally, the undrained shear strength of soil at the plastic limit ($PL$) and $LL$ states are known to be around 170 kPa and 1.7 kPa,
respectively [171]. Thus, soils with a higher LL are expected to show higher undrained strength values in the same soil water content (w) condition, indicating the importance of the liquidity index (LI = (w - PL)/PI) of soils on shear resistance [172].

A study has shown that BPST can alter the classification (i.e., Unified Soil Classification System) of clayey soils due to its effect on the soil consistency (LL) and electrical sensitivity variations [70]. BPST mostly enhances the LL of soils by increasing pore fluid viscosity and soil wettability due to the presence of biopolymers among the soil particles, as shown in Fig. 2 [82,136,173]. However, BPST decreases the LL of clays with high specific surfaces and cation exchange capacity, such as montmorillonite, as direct ionic bonding between montmorillonite particles and xanthan gum is postulated to facilitate particle-biopolymer aggregation, resulting in a LL decrease [70].

Soil strengthening

Unconfined compressive strength

The maximum compressive stress that a sample can withstand under zero confining stress, the UCS is one of the key indicators of the geotechnical engineering behaviors (e.g., undrained shear strength, relative consistency) of cohesive soils [174]. Biopolymers generally increase the UCS of dry soils by inducing conglomeration and aggregation among soil particles and/or generating electrostatic adhesion between soil particles and biopolymers, as shown in Fig. 3. Throughout this paper, biopolymer content indicates the biopolymer to soil ratio in mass.

Fig. 3a shows the UCS of biopolymer-treated coarse soils dried for 28 days at room temperature [48,79,169,175]. The UCS increases with
biopolymer content [79]; an example is agar gum-treated sand (0.8 MPa at 1%; 2.4 MPa at 3%) [48]. In addition, 2% gellan gum-treated sand (SP) has a UCS of 435 kPa, higher than that of 12% cement-treated sand (380 kPa) [54]. Attempts to use corn starch to strengthen soil show that 16.6% starch-treated soil has UCS values up to 26 MPa [175]. Recently, casein biopolymer has been introduced as a soil binder with a high strengthening effect, as 6.66% casein increases the UCS of sandy soils by up to 5.63 MPa [166]. Casein BPST strengthening effect depends on dehydration conditions, with the most effective strengthening being induced at 60 °C drying for 14 days [169]. The biopolymer strengthening effect is more significant in fine soils, as shown in Fig. 3b [50,146,176,177]. For clays, 2% xanthan gum BPST enhances the UCS of montmorillonite by up to 2.9 MPa, while the same treatment improves the UCS of kaolinite up to 1.3 MPa [176]. Gellan gum also shows significant soil strengthening effects, as the UCS of 3% gellan gum-treated sandy lean clay (CL) reaches up to 12.6 MPa [48]. Furthermore, β-glucan biopolymer shows significant strengthening in sandy lean clay (CL), with 0.25% and 0.5% content having UCS values of 2.17 MPa and 4.31 MPa, respectively, both higher than the UCS of 10% cement treated condition (2.65 MPa) [50]. 2% BPST on silt with xanthan gum, starch, and guar gum, reveals UCS values of 337 kPa, 575 kPa, and 842 kPa, respectively [146]. Chitosan BPST shows high strengthening efficiency, where 0.16% of chitosan enhancing the UCS of low plastic clayey soil (CL) up to 2.9 MPa [177].

Fig. 4 shows the UCS characteristics of xanthan gum BPTS with various soil types [49,176]. The UCS of soils gradually increases with higher xanthan gum content and dehydration due to xanthan gum hydrogel condensation and subsequent biofilm formation [49,142,176,178]. The optimum xanthan gum content for sandy soil (SP) strengthening has been reported to be around 1.5–2%, improving UCS values by 0.9–1.2 MPa [49]. Although xanthan gum treatment enhances the UCS of sands, its strengthening effect is much higher for clayey soils such as sandy lean clay (CL), kaolinite, and montmorillonite as shown in Fig. 4 [49,176], due to the biopolymer-clay matrix formation induced by ionic and hydrogen bonds between xanthan gum and clay particles [46]. The UCS values of BPTSs with water content variation are presented in Fig. 5 [48]. Strength reduction via wetting and accompanying saturation is regarded to be the result of the hydrogel swelling of hydrophilic biopolymers [179–181]. Although the wet strength of BPTS is lower than that of dry BPTS, the saturated strength of BPTS is significantly higher than that of untreated soils, thus, BPST shows promising applicability in waterfront or wetland geotechnical engineering practices.

**Stress–strain behavior under uniaxial loading**

Fig. 6 shows the stress–strain relationships of xanthan gum, gellan gum, and agar gum BPTSs obtained by unconfined compression, where dried BPTSs have higher elastic stiffnesses ($E_{50}$) than untreated soils [48,49]. For xanthan gum, 1% BPST increases the $E_{50}$ up to 9 times (2 MPa to 18 MPa) for sand and 4.5 times (6 MPa to 27 MPa) for kaolinite. Moreover, thermo-gelating biopolymer treatment shows a remarkable $E_{50}$ increase, with 1% of thermo-gelated gellan gum and agar gum BPST increasing the $E_{50}$ of clayey soil to 168 MPa and 98 MPa, respectively [48].

Fig. 7 presents the overall relationship between the elastic modulus ($E$) and UCS of BPTSs and other engineered geomaterials (e.g., cement, gravel, and MICP-treated soil) [48,54,182,184]. Both $E$ and UCS values show a global increasing trend regardless of binder type, where thermo-gelated gellan gum BPST on clay showing competitive strengthening compared to other bio-based soil treatment conditions.

**Shear strength**

Shear strength refers to the external load a soil can sustain without structural failure, which is an important factor for reliable and safe design of geotechnical engineering structures such as slopes, earth walls, embankments, and foundations [185]. Various BPST approaches have been introduced to improve the shear strength parameters (apparent cohesion and friction angle) of different types of soils in wet and dry conditions, as summarized in Fig. 8 [46,54,79,142,143,169,177]. BPST enhances apparent cohesion significantly in both cohesive (clay) and cohesionless (sand) soil regardless of soil saturation compared to the untreated condition, which is presented as white blocks in Fig. 8. Fig. 8 shows that BPST leads to a major increase in soil inter-particle cohesion regardless of soil moisture content. For instance, 0.16% of chitosan and 2% of gellan gum BPST on Shiraz-Iran clay and pure kaolinite in a wet state increases inter-particle cohesion from 10 kPa (untreated clay) to 30 kPa and 18 kPa (untreated kaolinite) to 82 kPa, respectively [46,177]. Xanthan gum ($c = 91$ kPa), agar gum ($c = 111$ kPa), and gellan gum ($c = 104$ kPa) also significantly increase the cohesion of several types of cohesionless SP sands [79,142]. Moreover, the dehydration of biopolymer hydrogels exceeds the inter-particle cohesion of sands over 150 kPa [54,143,169].

Meanwhile, BPST seems to have minor impact to the inter-particle friction angle of soils (Fig. 8). At wet states, friction angle of BPTSs tends to be similar to or less than the friction angle of untreated soils, where the hydrated biopolymer hydrogels occupying pore spaces can be regarded to have negligible effect on inter-granular friction. However, drying which accompanies biopolymer hydrogel dehydration enhances the inter-particle friction angle of sands compared to saturated conditions due to the condensation of biopolymer biofilms, which promotes the particle clogging effect of BPST via surface coating and inter-particle bridging [54,143]. For instance, the inter-particle friction angle of pure sand increases from 27.9° (untreated) to 47° with 2% of gellan gum BPST in a dried state [54].

Fig. 9 shows the inter-particle cohesion and friction behavior of...
dehydrated xanthan gum-treated clays with drying time [176]. Although both inter-particle cohesion and friction angle increase after 28 days of sample preparation, attributed to xanthan gum hydrogel dehydration, the further friction angle increase for up to 90 days is postulated to be affected by the thixotropy behavior of xanthan gum-clay matrices [49].

Soil stiffness including dilation and elastic wave velocity

Fig. 10 presents the shear stress-horizontal displacement relationships of gel-type BPTSs obtained by direct shear test under 50 kPa vertical confinement, where shear stiffness (\(G_{So}\)) generally increases with higher biopolymer contents regardless of soil moisture content [46,54,143]. In a dried condition, gellan gum BPST renders higher \(G_{So}\) values than xanthan gum BPST with the same sand material (jumunjin sand, Korea) and biopolymer content, as shown in Fig. 10a and 10b [46,143]. For the same BPST condition, soil moisture content becomes an important factor in BPTS shear stiffness, as \(G_{So}\) values increase significantly from the wet (Fig. 10c) to the dried (Fig. 10b) state due to the dehydration of biopolymer hydrogels and the subsequent inter-granular coating and bridging effect induced by biofilms [46,54]. For gellan gum BPST on sandy clay in a wet state (Fig. 10d), despite \(G_{So}\) values increasing with biopolymer content, the \(G_{So}\) values are lower than those of sand (Fig. 10c) at the same soil moisture content. This is attributed to gellan gum-clay matrix formation, which makes BPTS more ductile and rendering residual behavior under shearing [46].

BPTSs generally show dilatancy increase with higher biopolymer content, especially in a dried state (Fig. 11) [46,143]. However, once a dried BPTS is subjected to re-saturation, dried biopolymer biofilms adsorb water and swell due to hydrophilicity, resulting in stiffness reduction (the rhombic points in Fig. 11a, b, and c). While 0.5% and 1.0% of xanthan gum content is enough for complete swelling and reduction in dilative behavior, incomplete swelling occurs in the 2.0% xanthan gum condition, resulting in similar dilation behavior with the untreated state. Gellan gum-treated sand is also expected to show dilatancy reduction via re-saturation according to the gradual strength and stiffness reductions with repeating wetting-drying cycles, as reported by Chang et al. [98]. On the other hand, gellan gum BPST on sand mixed with kaolinite at a 1:1 ratio presents more brittle and dilative behavior as gellan gum content increases from 1% to 5% due to a structural agglomeration effect (Fig. 11d).

Finally, the presence of \(\beta\)-glucan in soil enhances shear stiffness. Previous research has measured elastic wave velocity with \(\beta\)-glucan-treated KRS, finding that the \(\beta\)-glucan increased the shear (S) wave velocity and shear modulus by enhancing the soil structure (Fig. 12) [136]. However, the compressive (P) wave velocity and elastic modulus were not drastically affected [136]. Besides, a shear modulus increase in fine quartz sand (Ottawa F110) via dextran biopolymer treatment has been observed, with the velocity and attenuation responses of both P and S waves of dextran-treated sand being mainly governed by the amount of biopolymer accumulation (degree of biopolymer saturation) and elastic stiffness of biopolymer hydrogels in inter-granular pore spaces [186].
Soil erosion control

Surface soil erosion is an important concern in geotechnical engineering and other fields such as climatology, agriculture, military, hydrology, and human health [15,186]. Thus, many research have been attempted to control and reduce soil erosion through irrigation control, afforestation, and soil stabilization with binder materials [41,187–189]. However, conventional approaches have limitations in terms of necessity for frequent application and economic ineffectiveness [64]. Moreover, chemical additives (e.g., PAM) raise environmental and health concerns, restricting their usage nowadays [17,22,190].

As an alternative, biological approaches have been attempted to enhance the erosion resistance of soils with microbial precipitation [69,191] and BPST [7,41,55,64,192,193]. Biopolymers enhance the stability and surface erosion resistance of slopes and dam structures via multiple interactions, including bio-aggregation, bio-crusting, bio-coating, bio-clogging, and bio-cementation [194].

Fig. 11. Dilation behavior of xanthan treated sand and gellan gum treated sand and kaolinite (1:1) mixture during direct shear testing under vertical confinement of 50 kPa [46,143]. (a) 0.5% of xanthan gum treated sand, (b) 1.0% of xanthan gum treated sand, (c) 2.0% of xanthan gum treated sand, (d) 1%, 2%, and 5% of gellan gum treated sand and kaolinite mixture.

Fig. 12. Elastic wave velocity (compressive and shear wave) with vertical effective stress variation of beta-glucan treated sandy lean clay (Korean residual soil) [136].

Soil erosion control

Fig. 13. Erosion behavior of biopolymer-treated soils [15,41,57,64,192].
biopolymer and soil solution mixing) methods are adequately effective for surface erosion control [64]. Despite BPST can be regarded as a sufficient soil erosion mitigation approach, exposure to real environment conditions in situ result in different erosion response than in laboratory tests, mostly showing higher erosion ratio in the field, as illustrated in Fig. 14 [41]. Thus, further improvement is required for their practical implementation in terms of economic efficiency, Ahmad and Mark [59].

### Table 2: Methodology to evaluate erosion ratio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Test method</th>
<th>Specimen preparation</th>
<th>Exposure to erosion</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Orts et al. [15, 41] | Laboratory mini-furrow test  
(1:100 scale)  | Moist soil (1500 g) compacted into a 1-inch width long bar with a narrow furrow (0.6 cm in width, 0.6 cm in depth) formed along the middle to create a mini-furrow in the length direction | Test solution (tap water) pumped down the furrow, set at an angle of 5° with 7 mL/min flow rate | Runoff water collection at the lower end of the furrow  
Turbidity  
Eroded solid mass |
| Chang et al. [57] | Precipitation and stream erosion simulation  | Soil compacted in a tray (300 mm in length × 150 mm in width × 50 mm in depth) | Tray inclined for 20°  
Water sprayed 30 cm from the soil surface with 500 mL/ 5 s charge rate  
Periodic precipitation: 15 precipitation events with 48-hours time interval  
Heavy precipitation: (continuous) 15 precipitation events with 10-minutes time interval  
Specimen placed 51 cm away from the fan and exposed to airflow (velocity 62 km/hour) for 10 min  
Rainfall simulation by applying 1/16 of the average annual precipitation (Northeastern USA) with a weekly basis up to 16 weeks. | Runoff water collection  
Eroded solid mass |
| Kavazanjian et al. [64] | Wind erosion test  | Compacted sample with 21.6 cm diameter and 2.54 cm height | Runoff water collection  
Eroded solid mass |
| Larson et al. [193] | Mesoscale rainfall soil lysimeter test  | Silty sand placed inside lysimeter (78.7 cm in length × 78.7 cm in width × 60.7 cm in height) | Runoff water collection  
Total suspended solids (TSS) and turbidity |

---

Fig. 14. Erosion behavior of biopolymer-treated soils assessed in laboratory and field conditions [41].

Fig. 15. Biopolymer effect to the viscosity of cement slurries [196, 235].

Fig. 16. Apparent viscosity [Pa·s]  
Shear rate-dependent apparent viscosity relationship of biopolymer-cement mixtures [196].

Fig. 17. Apparent viscosity [cP]  
Water to cement ratio [%].

Fig. 18. Soil loss (Erosion ratio [%])  
Erosion behavior of biopolymer-treated soils assessed in laboratory and field conditions [41].
The use of biopolymers in ground injection (grouting) has been investigated to control the viscosity and prevent the separation of cement-based grout materials [196–198]. Biopolymers are effective in controlling the bleeding and washout of cement grouts due to their hydrophilic capacity and adhesive force for holding cement particles [199]. Although biopolymer treatment increases the viscosity of cement grouts, which raises concerns about grouting pressure and injection efficiency [2], the pseudoplasticity of biopolymer hydrogels significantly reduces the apparent viscosity of grout materials, as shown in Fig. 16 [198]. As recent studies show the viability of biopolymer application for ground hydraulic conductivity control [39,117,173,174], further research is expected to implement BPST for grouting purposes.

Meanwhile, biopolymers show a substantial increase in viscosity in high-salinity water, high shear resistance, and stability at wide temperature and pH ranges [52,200], making biopolymer application adequate in oil recovery practices [200–202].

Pavement and earth stabilization

As most pavements are engineered with petroleum- or cement-based binders such as asphalt and concrete, there have been several attempts to find sustainable solutions and apply BPST to pavement and earth stabilization. Biopolymers such as starch have been used as supplemental additives for cement- or lime-based soil binders for sub-base stabilization in road construction [61,203]. Moreover, bio-based enzymes have been suggested to strengthen and stabilize the sub-grade of pavements [204]. Enzymes catalyze the chemical reaction (soil-to-ion interaction) between clay particles and cationic organic matter within the soil, resulting in an overall increase of soil strength, although enzyme activity depends very much on soil type and environmental conditions [205–207]. MICP may also be applicable for sub-ground soil stabilization [1].

Biopolymers have also been studied in terms of earth stabilization and pavement engineering [122,208,209]. Recently, attempts have been made to use gel-type biopolymers (e.g., xanthan gum and gellan gum) to enhance the strengthening parameters of sandy soils [48,49], where 1% gel-type BPST induces strengthening greater than that of 10% cement treatment in terms of UCS and ground bearing capacity [54]. However, the practical implementation of gel-type BPST also has limitations in terms of durability and strength reduction with repeated wetting and drying [98].

Ground injection

The use of biopolymers in ground injection (grouting) has been investigated to control the viscosity and prevent the separation of cement-based grout materials [196–198]. Biopolymers are effective in controlling the bleeding and washout of cement grouts due to their hydrophilic capacity and adhesive force for holding cement particles [199]. Fig. 15 shows the viscosity increase of cement grouts with BPST, where a higher shear rate results in a reduction of the apparent viscosity of biopolymer cement grouts [196]. Although biopolymer treatment increases the viscosity of cement grouts, which raises concerns about grouting pressure and injection efficiency [2], the pseudoplasticity of biopolymer hydrogels significantly reduces the apparent viscosity of grout materials, as shown in Fig. 16 [198]. As recent studies show the viability of biopolymer application for ground hydraulic conductivity control [39,117,173,174], further research is expected to implement BPST for grouting purposes.

Meanwhile, biopolymers show a substantial increase in viscosity in high-salinity water, high shear resistance, and stability at wide temperature and pH ranges [52,200], making biopolymer application adequate in oil recovery practices [200–202].
Ground water control

In geotechnical engineering, ground hydraulic conductivity control is an important manner for soil liquefaction potential mitigation and ensuring the stability of soil dams or seepage structures [210]. In fact, the presence of biopolymer hydrogels or other biomaterials can affect the hydraulic conductivity of soils by altering the water retention characteristics (suction potential) [211] or inducing soil pore clogging [69,212]. Regardless of the biopolymer phase in soil (e.g., dried biofilm, moist hydrogel), when BPTSs are subjected to water, biopolymers swell via hydrophilic adsorption and decrease paths for fluid flow [54], resulting in a significant reduction to the hydraulic conductivity of BPTSs [52,54,147,213]. Fig. 17 shows the hydraulic conductivity behaviors of sands, where pores are clogged by continuous biopolymer solution flow [52,142]. In details, 11 days of biopolymer solution injection reduces the hydraulic conductivity of sand (untreated: $1.74 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m/s}$) by 1/10 to 1/1000 times, depending on biopolymer type [52], while higher biopolymer solution concentrations render lower permeability values [142].

Fig. 18 shows the hydraulic conductivity of directly mixed biopolymer-treated sands [54,147], indicating that the permeability values of biopolymer-treated sands are significantly lower than those of sands clogged by biopolymer solutions (Fig. 17). However, there are concerns about gradual hydrogel weakening (concentration decrease by continuous water adsorption) and wash-out under high hydraulic pressure conditions [214].

Soil water retention

As biopolymers can adsorb extreme amount of water relative to their own mass (e.g., 1 g of xanthan gum can adsorb 100 g of water), BPST alters distinctive soil–water characteristic (SWC) by enabling higher water retention [57,115,127,213,215]. Moreover, in the presence of water, biopolymers swell to viscous hydrogels that fill pore spaces, leading to low fluid permeability even under loose soil density [49,216–218].

The water retention behavior of BPTSs differs with biopolymer types and contents [115,213,219]. For instance, a study shows 1% BPST with xanthan gum, scleroglucan, and dextran enhancing the water retention of sand [115]. Another hydrophilic hydrogel, Jalma gel, induces higher initial volumetric water content conditions with biopolymer content increase, as shown in Fig. 19 [213].
Vegetation growth promotion

Generally, plants require water for their growth and metabolic processes (e.g., photosynthesis); however, most water supplied to vegetation is lost through transpiration, guttation [220], and gravitational infiltration of soils with low water retention capacity [221]. In fact, excessive water drainage in sandy soils can result in scarcity of water around the root zone, hindering vegetation growth [222], and severe drought conditions are known to be a limiting factor for seedling survival and germination [211].

BPST improves water retention in soil due to the hydrophilic characteristic of biopolymer hydrogels, so attempts have been made to use biopolymers to promote the growth of plants [57,223,224]. Fig. 20 presents a laboratory vegetation growth experiment conducted by Chang et al. [57] that shows BPST promoting both seed germination and overall growth in cultured soil (Fig. 20a) and natural inorganic silty loam (ML) (Fig. 20b). Specifically, BPTSs show high water retention behavior even with loose particle composition (density), providing an appropriate environment for seed germination and the accompanying root penetration of vegetation in soils [57].

Discussion

Potential in situ applications of BPTS: Case study examples

Slope surface treatment using BPTS

As BPST shows sufficient effectiveness in soil erosion control [41,57,64,192,193], a recent study attempted to use BPST to control surface erosion in an earth-compacted embankment in the field.

For this BPST field application, biopolymer solutions and in situ soil were pressurized and sprayed using hydraulic (biopolymer solution) and pneumatic (in situ soil) pump equipment to form BPTS layers (thickness 15–20 cm) on embankment slope surfaces. In addition, vegetation seeds (Kentucky bluegrass, perennial ryegrass, tall fescue, and miscanthus) were sprayed on the BPTS layers to verify the growth behavior of vegetation on BPTS mixtures in the field. The pilot construction site (Seosan, Korea) was divided into three sections for different purposes (section 1: xanthan gum BPST for vegetation growth promotion; section 2: casein BPST for surface erosion control; section 3: xanthan gum-starch combined BPST for surface erosion control and weed mitigation), as shown in Fig. 21.

In situ implementation was conducted according to the procedure described in Fig. 22. Surface cleaning was performed by removing existing plants and flattening the slope surface with a backhoe (Fig. 22a). In situ soil was sieved to remove oversized aggregates, transferred to the hopper by a conveyor belt, and sprayed via pneumatic pressure using a...
high-pressure air compressor (Ingersoll Rand XP825) (Fig. 22b). Simultaneously, biopolymer was dissolved into water using an electric mixer (Bosch GBM 1600RE) to prepare a uniform biopolymer solution (Fig. 22c). Soils and biopolymer solutions were transported through separate pipes and sprayed by a dual-channel nozzle at the end (Fig. 22d). After soil-biopolymer spraying, seeds were uniformly seeded (25 g/m²) on the BPST surfaces. After completion of slope construction (Fig. 22e), some sections were coated with a secondary biopolymer spray to check the feasibility of multiple biopolymer spraying.

In situ monitoring was conducted after 100 days. Vegetation density was counted via site survey, while shear strengths were assessed via a field vane shear test (H-4212, Humboldt) and a laboratory direct shear test with undisturbed samples collected from the site. BPTS sections 1 through 3 (from Fig. 21) all showed increased shear strength (laboratory direct shear and field vane shear) compared to the untreated condition, as shown in Fig. 23a. Meanwhile, a significant increase of vegetation density (number of sprouts per unit area) was observed in the vegetation focused BPTS sections (1–1 and 1–2) compared to the others (2 and 3–1) and the untreated condition (Fig. 23b).

Fig. 24. Vegetation promotion effect of BPST embankment surface after 3 weeks, 7 weeks, and 1 year after site implementation (September 2017) in Andong, Korea.

Earth stabilization using BPTS

A field implementation attempted to verify the feasibility of BPST in earth stabilization (pavement). A non-paved pedestrian trail (50 m long and 1 m wide) was planned to be established with BPTS mixtures on-site (Daejeon, Korea; Fig. 25a). The overall construction process followed: (1) site clearing (removing surface vegetation and top soil, followed by surface compaction (Fig. 25b)); (2) in situ soil, biopolymer (xanthan gum), and water mixing (Fig. 25c); (3) deposit of the BPTS mixture (10 cm thickness) on the target site (Fig. 25d); (4) compaction and surface leveling via vibrating compactor (Fig. 25e); and (5) completion (Fig. 25f).

The constructed BPTS pedestrian trail showed effective surface...
The stiffness (Young’s modulus > 120 MPa, measured via H-4140 Humboldt GeoGauge) and high surface erosion resistance. However, this case study raised questions about the further development of bio-polymer-specific field equipment and considered the rheological characteristics of biopolymers and biopolymer-soil mixtures in terms of facilitating construction effectiveness.

Environmental, economic, and social benefits of BPTS technology

Currently, biopolymers are economically less competitive than conventional soil binders such as cement. For instance, to treat a unit surface area (1 km²) with a 2.5 cm thick uniform soil-binder mixture (approximately $40 \times 10^3$ tons of sand), a 0.5% BPST would cost between 600,000 USD (xanthan gum) to 9 million USD ($\beta$-glucan), while an ordinary 10% cement mixture would cost only 240,600 USD [57]. However, the expanded applications of biopolymers (e.g., medicine, cosmetics, food, farmland irrigation, construction, and geotechnical engineering), as shown in Fig. 26 [225,226], and the resultant increase

Fig. 25. Overall procedure of BPST implementation for earth surface stabilization (Daejeon, Korea; October 2015).

Fig. 26. Global biopolymer production and relevant market segments in 2012 [225,226].

Fig. 27. Global biopolymer market (status and growth) [7,227,228].

Fig. 28. Global market price of xanthan gum (1980–2020) [7,227,229]
in production (Fig. 27) are expected to gradually decrease the cost of biopolymers and improve the economic feasibility of BPST usage in construction and civil engineering [7,227,228]. Moreover, active application of BPST in these fields could also reduce the cost of biopolymers via mass production and the expansion of the global biopolymer market. For example, as shown in Fig. 28, while the price of xanthan gum in the 1960 s was about 30,000 USD/ton, it has drastically dropped to 1500–4000 USD/ton nowadays due to its broad usage in various industries [7,227,229].

BPST is a promising method not only for improving the geotechnical properties of soil in engineering but also for environmentally sound and sustainable development. The world population of 7.3 billion in 2015 is expected to reach 8.5 billion by 2030 [230], significantly increasing the burdens of food production to sustain human life. The use of BPST in arid and semi-arid regions can serve to improve water use efficiency and promote the development of agriculture to combat future global food shortages and scarcity [57].

Future challenges of BPST technology

One recent study showed that 80.1 tons of biopolymer are required to form a uniform 1 cm thick 0.5% biopolymer-soil mixture on a 1 km² surface area [48]. Thus, the entire global biopolymer production in 2011 (0.4 million tons) could only treat 5,242 km²; however, the treated area could be 4 times larger in 2015 given the rapid growth of the biopolymer market. While the global biopolymer market is expected to grow by up to 4.6 million tons in 2021 (Fig. 27) [7,227,228], even this capacity would still cover only 0.7% of the Sahara. Therefore, it has been suggested that biopolymers be used as a supplement to enhance the efficiency and performance of pre-existing desertification countermeasures such as afforestation, windbreaks, and wind belts by enhancing soil erosion resistance and vegetation growth [57,141,231].

However, most studies have remained at the laboratory research level. Advanced studies are required to develop in situ implementation methods, design criteria and relevant quality control guidelines, and ensure the durability and reliability of BPST under real environmental circumstances. Moreover, as most biopolymers are hydrophilic and easily absorb water and swell to hydrogels, there is a concern that BPST might lead to severe swelling and poor drainage due to biopolymer-induced pore clogging. Thus, BPST application must be used with caution of the site condition (e.g., location of the ground water table) and construction purpose.

Conclusions

BPST has been introduced in the fields of construction and geotechnical engineering, with biopolymers serving as binders for soil treatment and ground improvement, and numerous studies have been conducted to verify its potential and economic feasibility. Biopolymers such as agar gum, guar gum, gellan gum, dextran, β-glucan, xanthan gum, chitosan, starch, and casein have been commonly studied. Current findings show the following geotechnical engineering responses of BPST:

- Strength – BPST significantly enhances the strength of soils, mostly through the improvement of interparticle cohesion rather than altering the friction angle of the soil. For instance, BPST induces a significant UCS (200 kPa–12.6 MPa) and cohesion (40–235 kPa) increase, while friction angle shows less variation depending on soil type, biopolymer type, biopolymer content, and moisture condition. The dehydration of hydrogels renders higher soil strength than in submerged or saturated conditions.
- Consistency – BPST generally increases the liquid limit of soils due to the water adsorption and enhanced pore-fluid viscosity via biopolymer hydrogel formation, resulting in an increase of undrained shear strength.
- Erosion resistance – As BPST enhances the interparticle cohesion and undrained shear strength of soils, biopolymer treatment shows sufficient reduction in severe surface erosion and land degradation in arid, semi-arid, and highly degradable regions.
- Ground water control – Most hydrophilic biopolymers show high water-holding capacity, resulting in the improved water retention behavior of BPTs. However, swelled biopolymer hydrogels induce pore clogging, which significantly reduces the hydraulic conductivity of soils. For instance, BPST reduces the hydraulic conductivity of sand by the order of 10–4 depending on biopolymer type and content.
- Ground improvement – The strengthening and hydraulic conductivity control characteristics of BPST have advantages in ground improvement. For instance, biopolymers can become a grouting material, as numerous studies show biopolymer grout materials to be adequate in terms of ground permeability control and site workability.
- Sustainability – Most biopolymers are environmentally friendly because they are mostly microbial hydrocarbons with low CO2 footprints compared to conventional soil binders. Moreover, recent studies show that BPST promotes seed germination and the growth of vegetation in soil, which becomes another benefit in terms of sustainability.

Given all of the above, biopolymers are expected to become a new, environmentally friendly material for civil and geotechnical engineering. Current field implementations indicate the feasibility and promising potential of biopolymer usage in slope surface protection and earth stabilization. However, while biopolymers show more benefits, further research is required to narrow the gap between laboratory studies and field implementation. In addition, construction equipment must be developed or modified in consideration of the chemical rheology of biopolymers.
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